

OUTER WEST HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA

BRAMLEY AND STANNINGLEY, CALVERLEY AND FARSLEY, PUDSEY WARDS WITH PARTS OF ARMLEY AND FARNLEY AND WORTLEY WARDS (with small area of Kirkstall Wards)

INTRODUCTION

1. Outer West Housing Market Characteristic Area and Wards

- 1.1 Plan 1 shows the boundaries of the wards that fall, to a greater or lesser extent, within Outer West Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA). The plan also shows the areas of greenspace by type that fall in the area.
- 1.2 The greenspace sites shown on the plan and used in the following assessment are those which were identified and surveyed during the citywide Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment (referred to as the Open Space Audit) in 2008 and not the allocated greenspace (N1, N1a, N5 and N6) identified in the UDP Review 2006. Many sites are in both but there are variations which must be noted: 1) some allocated sites are not included (where they have been developed); 2) others appear with amended boundaries; and 3) there are additional sites which are not currently allocated but have been identified through the audit as functioning as greenspace. Plan 2 overlays the existing UDP allocations with the boundaries of the Open Space Audit sites and thereby clearly shows the differences between the two. Appendix 1 contains a list of those allocated sites which do not appear on the plan and the reasons why they are not shown. It is proposed to delete these sites, revise the boundaries of some sites to reflect what is currently on the ground and designate the new sites identified through the Open Space Audit. Housing Market Characteristic Areas are sub-areas recognising the diverse nature and characteristics of market areas across the City. These areas take account of topographical and settlement spatial definitions as well as operational housing markets in terms of house prices and land values. They reflect geographical areas that people tend to associate with finding properties to live in.
- 1.3 Housing Market Characteristic Areas are sub-areas recognising the diverse nature and characteristics of market areas across the City. These areas take account of topographical and settlement spatial definitions as well as operational housing markets in terms of house prices and land values. They reflect geographical areas that people tend to associate with finding properties to live in.
- 1.4 Whilst other subjects have been considered on an HMCA basis, the quantity of greenspace has been analysed according to wards because this allowed a more accurate analysis by ward population figures. The quality and accessibility of greenspace is assessed on an HMCA basis.
- 1.5 There are 5 wards that fall to a greater or lesser extent within the Outer West Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA). Calverley and Farsley, Bramley and Stanningley and Pudsey Wards fall completely within the HMCA whilst significant parts of Armley and Farnley and Wortley also fall within the HMCA. A small part of Kirkstall Ward also falls within but as the vast majority of this ward falls in the North Leeds HMCA, it will be considered in detail under that HMCA. Nevertheless, it should be noted that there is an area of natural greenspace and an area of outdoor sports provision in the small part of Kirkstall Ward which are shown with all other

greenspace in the HMCA on Plan 1. As this report will not take these areas into account, it will slightly under-estimate of the amount of natural greenspace and outdoor sports provision in the HMCA.

- 1.6 Where an area of greenspace falls across the boundary of the ward then only the part of the greenspace that falls within the ward has been included in the analysis. Care has been taken to check this would not result in the division of a facility.

2.0 Total Greenspace in 5 Wards

- 2.1 Total greenspace in Calverley and Farsley, Bramley and Stanningley, Pudsey, Armley and Farnley and Wortley wards is **867.446 ha** on **248** greenspace sites. Excluding green corridors, cemeteries and golf courses the total is **663.527 ha** this relates to **208** sites. The **X** sites within Kirkstall Ward cover an area of **XXha** in the Outer West HMCA.

3.0 Core Strategy Policy G3: Standards for Open Space, Sport and Recreation

- 3.1 Policy G3 sets out standards for the following types of greenspace:
- Parks and Gardens
 - Outdoor Sports Provision - excludes MUGAs, single goal ends and golf courses. Includes tennis courts, bowling greens, athletics tracks, synthetic pitches, adult pitches, junior pitches (football, rugby, cricket)
 - Amenity greenspace – excludes cemeteries.
 - Children and young people's equipped play facilities – includes MUGAs skate parks, teen shelters, play facilities.
 - Allotments – both used and unused.
 - Natural greenspace - excludes green corridors.
- 3.2 There are no standards in the Core Strategy for cemeteries, green corridors and golf courses.

QUANTITY OF GREENSPACE

4.0 Methodology

- 4.1 The tables below show the breakdown of provision, or **quantity**, for each of the 6 types of greenspace defined in Policy G3 in the Core Strategy. The quantities have been divided by the total population of each ward to give a standard which can be compared against the standards in Policy G3.
- 4.2 The ward population is taken from the ONS Population Census 2011. Ward Populations are as follows:

| Ward | Population |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Calverley and Farsley | 22,594 |
| Bramley and Stanningley | 21,334 |
| Pudsey | 22,408 |
| Armley | 25,550 |
| Farnley and Wortley | 24,213 |

- 4.3 Child populations are taken from the ONS Population Census 2011 and the 2007 mid year estimates. The 2011 census figures are grouped in 5 year categories so

there are accurate figures for 0 - 4, 5 – 9 and 10 – 14 year olds. The next category is 15 – 19 year olds so the 2007 mid year estimates have been used to estimate the number of 15 and 16 year olds. These estimates are broken down to individual years so the number of 11 and 12 year olds in 2007 (15 and 16 year olds in 2011) has been added to the 2011 population figures to give an estimate of children and young people by ward. This is set out below:

| Ward | Population aged 0 -16 years |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Calverley and Farsley | 4,397 |
| Bramley and Stanningley | 4,524 |
| Pudsey | 4,468 |
| Armley | 5,104 |
| Farnley and Wortley | 4,970 |

- 4.4 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for quantity of greenspace:

| Greenspace type | Quantity per 1000 population |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parks and Gardens | 1 hectare |
| Outdoor sports provision | 1.2 hectares (excluding education provision) |
| Amenity greenspace | 0.45 hectares |
| Children and young people's equipped play facilities | 2 facilities per 1,000 children (excluding education provision) |
| Allotments | 0.24 hectares |
| Natural Greenspace | 0.7 hectares (main urban area and major settlements, 2 ha other areas) |

5.0 Quantities by types and Wards

- 5.1 The quantities of greenspace types compared to the Core Strategy standards are as follows for the five wards in the Outer West HMCA.

Parks and Gardens:

5.2 *Parks and Gardens Calverley and Farsley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 833 | Woodhall Park | 2.775 |
| 112 | Calverley Park (Victoria Park) | 3.836 |
| 107 | Westroyd Park | 3.092 |
| 43 | Farfield Recreation Ground | 3.694 |
| 126 | Hainsworth Park | 1.879 |
| 32 | Brookfield Recreation Ground | 3.418 |
| 557 | Farfield Playing Fields | 0.140 |
| | TOTAL | 18.834 |

- 5.2.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $18.834 \div 22.594 = 0.833$ hectares

- 5.2.2 **Conclusions:** Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Calverley and Farsley Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

5.3 *Parks and Gardens Bramley and Stanningley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 95 | Stanningley Park | 10.545 |
| 1199 | Broad Lane Rec Ground | 2.346 |
| 963 | Coal Hill Recreation Area | 6.613 |
| 1730 | Ganners Lane | 0.403 |
| 1731 | Bell Lane | 0.471 |
| 30 | Bramley Park | 14.687 |
| 146 | Rodley Park Recreation Ground | 3.989 |
| 29 | Bramley Falls Wood Park | 34.545 |
| | TOTAL | 73.599 |

- 5.3.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $73.599 \div 21.334 = 3.45$ hectares

- 5.3.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Bramley and Stanningley Ward significantly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus of provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens. This is mainly due to the size of Bramley Falls Wood Park.

5.4 *Parks and Gardens Pudsey Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 147 | Roker Recreation Ground | 1.255 |
| 958 | Adjacent to Southroyd Primary School | 2.124 |
| 1337 | Houghside | 4.537 |
| 90 | Queens Park, Pudsey | 7.571 |
| 89 | Pudsey Park | 3.276 |
| 1306 | Owlcotes Rec Ground | 1.838 |
| 102 | Tyersal Park | 2.574 |
| 1358 | Swinnow Moor | 2.112 |
| | TOTAL | 25.287 |

- 5.4.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $25.287 \div 22.408 = 1.128$ hectares

- 5.4.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Pudsey Ward marginally exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus of provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

5.5 *Parks and Gardens Armley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 21 | Armley Park | 13.693 |
| 136 | Ley Lane Recreation Ground | 1.971 |
| 1078 | Jaily Fields | 1.915 |
| 709 | Elder Road - Field Opposite | 0.280 |
| 710 | Elder Street - Field On | 0.468 |
| 1191 | Raynville Crescent POS | 3.531 |

| | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| | TOTAL | 21.858 |
|--|--------------|---------------|

5.5.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $21.858 \div 25.550 = 0.855$ hectares

5.5.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Armley Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

5.6 ***Parks and Gardens Farnley and Wortley Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 45 | Farnley Hall Park | 16.167 |
| 78 | New Farnley Park | 1.062 |
| 1429 | Butt Lane Recreation Ground | 5.845 |
| 106 | Western Flatts Cliff Park | 12.931 |
| | TOTAL | 36.005 |

5.6.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $36.005 \div 24.213 = 1.487$ hectares

5.6.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Farnley and Wortley Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus of provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

5.7 **Parks and Gardens - Overall Conclusions**

5.7.1 If the totals for the 5 wards are added together it creates an overall average standard of **1.55 hectares per 1,000 population** for the HMCA. This exceeds the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average so whilst there is an excess of provision in Bramley and Stanningley, Pudsey and Farnley and Wortley Wards, there is an under provision in Calverley and Farsley and Armley Wards.

Outdoor Sports Provision

5.8 **Methodology**

5.8.1 Outdoor sports facilities in educational use have been excluded as it cannot be assumed that these are available for the public to use. Golf courses have also been excluded.

5.8.2 There are instances where outdoor sports provision occurs within other primary typologies. We have identified these and used the Sport England Comparison Standards to extract out the size of facilities as follows:

- Playing pitch (adult) = 1.2ha
- Junior pitch = 0.5ha
- Bowling green = 0.14ha
- Tennis court = 0.0742
- Cricket pitch = 1.37ha
- Synthetic turf pitch = 0.7ha

5.9 ***Outdoor Sports Provision Calverley and Farsley Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|----------------|--------------------------------|
| 833 | Woodhall Park |
| 112 | Calverley Park (Victoria Park) |

| | |
|------|-----------------------------------------|
| 107 | Westroyd Park |
| 835 | Woodhall Lane Playing Field |
| 43 | Farfield Recreation Ground |
| 126 | Hainsworth Park |
| 32 | Brookfield Recreation Ground |
| 558 | Red Lane Cricket Ground |
| 559 | Rodley Cricket Ground |
| 557 | Farfield Playing Fields |
| 718 | Thornbury Playing Field / Sports Ground |
| 1823 | Thornbury Cricket Club |
| 1821 | 'The Manor' Playing Pitch |
| 1657 | Farsley Celtic |
| 1691 | Dick Lane - La Liga Soccer Centre. |

5.9.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

| Type | No. | Area (ha) |
|-------------------|-----|---------------|
| Adult Pitches | 19 | 22.8 |
| Junior Pitches | 6 | 3 |
| Cricket Pitches | 4 | 5.48 |
| Tennis Courts | 8 | 0.593 |
| Bowling Green | 2 | 0.28 |
| Synthetic Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 39 | 32.153 |

5.9.2 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $32.153 \div 22.594 = 1.423$ hectares

5.9.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Calverley and Farsley Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

5.10 ***Outdoor Sports Provision Bramley and Stanningley Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|---------------------------|
| 95 | Stanningley Park |
| 1399 | Bramley Phoenix RFC |
| 963 | Coal Hill Recreation Area |
| 1856 | Bramley Liberal Club |
| 30 | Bramley Park |
| 697 | Railsfield Rise |
| 29 | Bramley Falls Wood Park |

5.10.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

| Type | No. | Area (ha) |
|-------------------|-----|-----------|
| Adult Pitches | 21 | 25.2 |
| Junior Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Cricket Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Tennis Courts | 0 | 0 |
| Bowling Green | 4 | 0.56 |
| Synthetic Pitches | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|-------|----|--------------|
| Total | 25 | 25.76 |
|-------|----|--------------|

5.10.2 Quantity (per thousand people) $25.76 \div 21.334 = 1.207$ hectares

5.10.3 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Bramley and Stanningley Ward slightly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

5.11. Outdoor Sports Provision Pudsey Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 147 | Roker Recreation Ground |
| 1387 | Pudsey St Lawrence Cricket Ground |
| 1372 | Intake Road Cricket Ground |
| 1857 | Troydale Recreation Club Ltd |
| 1858 | Pudsey Bowling Club |
| 1859 | Pudsey Littlemoor Working Mens Club Bowling Green |
| 1095 | Opposite Fulneck School |
| 1337 | Houghside |
| 90 | Queens Park, Pudsey |
| 89 | Pudsey Park |
| 102 | Tyersal Park |

5.11.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

| Type | No. | Area (ha) |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|
| Adult Pitches | 10 | 12 |
| Junior Pitches | 4 | 2 |
| Cricket Pitches | 5 | 6.85 |
| Tennis Courts | 2 | 0.148 |
| Bowling Green | 6 | 0.84 |
| Synthetic Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 27 | 21.838 |

5.11.2 Quantity (per thousand people) $21.838 \div 22.408 = 0.974$ hectares

5.11.3 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectare per 1000 population, Pudsey Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

5.12 Outdoor Sports Provision Armley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| 21 | Armley Park |
| 1078 | Jaily Fields |
| 856 | Armley Liberal Bowling Club |
| 137 | Armley Lazer Centre |
| 759 | Upper Armley Tennis Club |
| 607 | Armley - Conservative Club Bowling Green |
| 597 | Moorfield Road Pitch |
| 1843 | Goals Football Centre |

5.12.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

| Type | No. | Area (ha) |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Adult Pitches | 6 | 7.2 |
| Junior Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Cricket Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Tennis Courts | 10 | 0.742 |
| Bowling Green | 4 | 0.56 |
| Synthetic Pitches | 1 | 0.7 |
| Total | 21 | 9.202 |

5.12.2 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $9.202 \div 25.550 = 0.36$ hectares

5.12.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectare per 1000 population, Armley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is severely deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

5.13. *Outdoor Sports Provision Farnley & Wortley*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| 789 | West Leeds RUFC Pitch |
| 80 | New Wortley Recreation Ground |
| 45 | Farnley Hall Park |
| 1832 | Farnley Cricket Ground |
| 78 | New Farnley Park |
| 1429 | Butt Lane Recreation Ground |
| 905 | Hall Lane Pitch |
| 106 | Western Flatts Cliff Park |

5.13.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

| Type | No. | Area (ha) |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Adult Pitches | 12 | 14.4 |
| Junior Pitches | 2 | 1 |
| Cricket Pitches | 5 | 6.85 |
| Tennis Courts | 2 | 0.1484 |
| Bowling Green | 6 | 0.84 |
| Synthetic Pitches | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 27 | 23.24 |

5.13.2 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $23.24 \div 24.213 = 0.96$ hectares

5.13.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Farnley & Wortley ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

5.14 **Outdoor Sports Provision – Overall Conclusions**

5.14.1 If the totals of the 5 wards are added together it creates an overall average standard of **0.985 hectares per 1,000 population**. This is less than the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average so whilst there is an excess of

provision in Calverley and Farsley and Bramley and Stanningley, Wards, there is an under provision in Pudsey, Armley and Farnley and Wortley Wards.

Amenity Greenspace

5.15 Amenity Greenspace Calverley and Farsley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1426 | Fraser Allotments (Old site of) | 0.401 |
| 1296 | Highfield Green | 0.293 |
| 838 | Brookleigh Park | 0.506 |
| 1062 | Bradford Road /Stanningley Bypass | 1.536 |
| 47 | Farsley Recreation Ground | 2.018 |
| 116 | Chatsworth Recreational Ground | 1.186 |
| 704 | Calverley Dog Training Centre | 0.255 |
| | TOTAL | 6.195 |

5.15.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $6.195 \div 22.594 = 0.274$ hectares

5.15.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Calverley and Farsley Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.16 Amenity Greenspace Bramley and Stanningley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1336 | Lower Town Street | 0.348 |
| 1332 | Cross Bath Road | 0.623 |
| 1729 | Ganners Road | 0.284 |
| 986 | Bramley Park | 2.687 |
| 697 | Railsfield Rise | 0.600 |
| 1772 | Summerfield Drive | 0.285 |
| 1586 | Ganners Lane (Rear of) | 0.306 |
| | TOTAL | 5.133 |

5.16.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $5.133 \div 21.334 = 0.24$ hectares

5.16.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Bramley and Stanningley Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.17 Amenity Greenspace Pudsey Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1390 | Acres Hall field | 0.316 |
| 1391 | Valley Road Playground | 0.288 |
| 1388 | Littlemoor Gardens | 0.340 |
| 1428 | Hough Top Court | 1.621 |
| 1297 | Weavers Croft | 0.350 |
| 1294 | Tofts Road POS | 0.353 |

| | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------------|
| 1299 | Harlow Close | 0.261 |
| 845 | Sycamore Chase Greenspace | 0.703 |
| 1301 | Claremont Grove | 1.353 |
| 1353 | Swinnow Lane | 0.611 |
| | TOTAL | 6.196 |

5.17.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $6.196 \div 22.408 = 0.276$ hectares

5.17.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Pudsey Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.18 ***Amenity Greenspace Armley Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1079 | New Wortley Shops and CC Adjacent | 0.312 |
| 784 | Strawberry Fields | 1.284 |
| 791 | Stott Street POS | 0.446 |
| 616 | Armley - Charlie Cake Park | 0.353 |
| 1076 | Phil May Court | 0.337 |
| 615 | Mistress Lane (2) | 0.293 |
| 614 | Mistress Lane (1) | 0.222 |
| 753 | Cockshott Drive - Land Off | 0.301 |
| 859 | Clyde Grange | 0.268 |
| 860 | Wortley Heights | 0.615 |
| 957 | Off Tong Road | 0.317 |
| 584 | St Marys Park Crescent | 0.205 |
| 596 | Poplar Court POS (2) | 1.597 |
| 604 | Far Fold Moor (2) | 0.771 |
| 605 | Moor Top Armley Common | 2.240 |
| 606 | Little Moor (4) | 0.202 |
| 594 | Poplar POS | 1.127 |
| 600 | Green Hill Close POS | 0.427 |
| 601 | Hill Top Moor | 0.784 |
| 1267 | Wyther Lane | 0.310 |
| | TOTAL | 12.411 |

5.18.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $12.411 \div 25.550 = 0.486$ hectares

5.18.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Armley Ward slightly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.19 ***Amenity Greenspace Farnley & Wortley***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 767 | Barras Garth Road Allotments | 6.065 |
| 769 | Fawcett Way POS | 2.224 |
| 1742 | New Farnley Recreation Ground | 0.554 |
| 751 | Heights (West) | 0.344 |
| 754 | Butterbowl Drive POS | 1.049 |

| | | |
|------|------------------------------|---------------|
| 755 | Bawn Avenue POS | 1.005 |
| 756 | Nutting Grove Terrace POS | 2.254 |
| 758 | Whincover Gardens POS | 1.439 |
| 750 | Heights East | 0.420 |
| 861 | Fawcett Place | 0.338 |
| 1080 | Kilburn Road (AAP) | 0.320 |
| 907 | Farnley Community Centre POS | 0.303 |
| 598 | Farrow Vale POS | 0.645 |
| 904 | Bawn Lane | 0.227 |
| 906 | Whincover Bank POS | 0.214 |
| | Total | 17.401 |

5.19.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $17.401 \div 24.213 = 0.718$ hectares

5.19.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Farnley & Wortley ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.20 **Amenity Greenspace – Overall Conclusions**

5.20.1 If the totals for the 5 wards are added together it creates an overall average standard of **0.3988 hectares per 1,000 population**. This is less than the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average so whilst there is an excess of provision in Armley and Farnley and Wortley Wards there is an under provision in Calverley and Farsley, Bramley and Stanningley and Pudsey Wards.

Children and Young People's equipped play facilities:

5.21 **Methodology**

5.21.1 These lists exclude play facilities that are in educational use, since these are only available during the school day and by the children attending that particular school.

5.22 **Children & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Calverley and Farsley Ward**

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|----------------|--------------------------------|
| 112 | Calverley Park (Victoria Park) |
| 43 | Farfield Recreation Ground |
| 126 | Hainsworth Park |
| 32 | Brookfield Recreation Ground |
| 557 | Farfield Playing Fields |
| 47 | Farsley Recreation Ground |
| 116 | Chatsworth Recreational Ground |

| Type of Facility | Number |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| MUGA | 0 |
| Child Play Area | 7 |
| Skate Park | 0 |
| Teen Shelter | 1 |
| TOTAL | 8 FACILITIES |

5.22.1 **Requirement and provision:** $4.397 \times 2 = 8.8$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Calverley and Farsley Ward is slightly under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **8** facilities, representing 91% of the required amount.. It is noticeable, however, that the vast majority (7) of these facilities are child play areas with only 1 teen shelter and no MUGA's or skate parks. Therefore there is a shortage of facilities for older children.

5.23 ***Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Bramley and Stanningley Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| 95 | Stanningley Park |
| 1730 | Ganners Lane |
| 30 | Bramley Park |
| 146 | Rodley Park Recreation Ground |
| 29 | Bramley Falls Wood Park |

| Type of Facility | Number |
|------------------|---------------------|
| MUGA | 4 |
| Child Play Area | 4 |
| Skate Park | 0 |
| Teen Shelter | 0 |
| TOTAL | 8 FACILITIES |

5.23.1 **Requirement and provision** $4.524 \times 2 = 9$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Bramley and Stanningley Ward is slightly under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **8** facilities, representing 88.9% of the required amount. It is noticeable that the provision is MUGA's and children's play areas only, with no teen shelters or skate parks in the ward.

5.24 ***Children & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Pudsey Ward***

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| 147 | Roker Recreation Ground |
| 958 | Adjacent to Southroyd Primary School |
| 90 | Queens Park, Pudsey |
| 89 | Pudsey Park |
| 102 | Tyersal Park |

| Type of Facility | Number |
|------------------|----------|
| MUGA | 0 |
| Child Play Area | 5 |
| Skate Park | 1 |
| Teen Shelter | 1 |
| TOTAL | 7 |

5.24.1 **Requirement and provision:** $4.468 \times 2 = 8.9$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Pudsey Ward is under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **7** facilities, representing 78.6% of the required amount.

The majority of the provision is children's play areas, with one stake park and one teen shelter.

5.25 **Childrens & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Armley Ward**

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|--------------|
| 21 | Armley Park |
| 1078 | Jaily Fields |

| Type of Facility | Number |
|------------------|----------|
| MUGA | 2 |
| Child Play Area | 1 |
| Skate Park | 1 |
| Teen Shelter | 1 |
| TOTAL | 5 |

5.25.1 **Requirement and provision:** $5.104 \times 2 = 10.2$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Armley Ward is significantly under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **5** facilities, representing 49% of the required amount. There is however a range of facility types.

5.26 **Children & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Farnley and Wortley Ward**

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| 80 | New Wortley Recreation Ground |
| 45 | Farnley Hall Park |
| 78 | New Farnley Park |
| 106 | Western Flatts Cliff Park |

| Type of Facility | Number |
|------------------|---------------------|
| MUGA | 2 |
| Child Play Area | 3 |
| Skate Park | 1 |
| Teen Shelter | 0 |
| TOTAL | 6 Facilities |

5.26.1 **Requirement and provision** - $4.970 \times 2 = 10$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Farnley & Wortley Ward is under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **6** facilities, representing only 60% of the required amount. There is a range of facility types, though no teen shelters.

5.27 **Children and Young People's Equipped Play Facilities – overall conclusions**

5.27.1 If the totals for the 5 wards are added together it creates an overall average requirement for 9.38 facilities and an actual average provision of 6.8 facilities. As all wards have less than the Core Strategy standard, the average is below as well. There is a great variation in the type of facility though there is a general lack of facilities for older children. There are approximately 9127 young people aged 10 – 16 years in the 5 wards therefore the lack of suitable provision is an issue.

Allotments:

5.28 Allotments Calverley and Farsley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 1349 | Calverley Allotments | 0.503 |
| 1313 | Westdale Allotments | 0.719 |
| 1419 | Club Lane Allotments | 0.000 |
| 1233 | Priesthorpe Lane Allotments | 0.590 |
| 703 | Calverley (Gatescroft) Allotments | 1.009 |
| | Total | 2.821 |

5.28.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $2.821 \div 22.594 = 0.125$ hectares

5.28.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Calverley and Farsley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.29 Allotments Bramley and Stanningley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|
| 855 | Hayleys Field Allotment - Westover Road | 0.892 |
| 1419 | Club Lane Allotments | 0.336 |
| 1845 | Lastingham Road Allotments | 0.324 |
| | Total | 1.552 |

5.29.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $1.552 \div 21.334 = 0.072$ hectares

5.29.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Bramley and Stanningley Ward falls extremely short of the recommended standard and so is severely deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.30 Allotments Pudsey Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 1340 | Hough Side Allotments | 0.358 |
| 1361 | Crimbles Allotments | 0.579 |
| 1295 | Tofts Road Allotments | 0.313 |
| 1430 | Chaucer Avenue (Rear of) | 0.612 |
| | Total | 1.862 |

5.30.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $1.862 \div 22.408 = 0.083$ hectares

5.30.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Pudsey Ward falls extremely short of the recommended standard and so is severely deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.31 Allotments Armley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|-----------|---------|
|---------|-----------|---------|

| | | |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| 825 | Armley Ridge Road Allotment Society | 1.030 |
| 595 | Greenthorpe Allotments (1) | 1.216 |
| 815 | Stanningley Road Allotments | 1.501 |
| 1081 | St Barts Allotments Wyring Fields | 0.488 |
| | Total | 4.235 |

5.31.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $4.235 \div 25.550 = 0.166$ hectares

5.31.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Armley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.32 *Allotments Farnley & Wortley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 953 | Oldfield Lane / Highfield Garden (New Wortley) Allotments | 0.841 |
| 952 | Highfield Avenue Allotments | 0.687 |
| 863 | Cobden Road Allotments | 1.409 |
| 787 | Ring Road Lower Wortley Allotments | 0.942 |
| | Total | 3.879 |

5.32.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $3.879 \div 24.213 = 0.16$ hectares

5.32.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Farnley and Wortley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.33 **Allotments – overall conclusions**

5.33.1 If the totals for the 5 wards are added together it creates an overall average provision of **0.121 hectares per 1,000 population**. As all wards fall noticeably short of the Core Strategy standard the average is significantly below as well.

Natural Greenspace

5.34 *Natural Greenspace Calverley and Farsley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1656 | Midge Bank Wood | 0.995 |
| 158 | Woodhall Lake | 4.629 |
| 962 | Ravenscliffe Wood | 14.828 |
| 560 | Farsley Beck (Behind Farsley Celtic) | 3.158 |
| 733 | Sunnybank Lane Playing Field | 4.491 |
| 837 | Clover Court Field | 0.308 |
| 1674 | Dick Lane | 9.261 |
| 1418 | Priestthorpe Lane/ Ring Road Farsley | 8.462 |
| 1305 | Wood Nook - New Pudsey Train Station | 5.329 |
| 1811 | Fairfield POS | 1.671 |
| 1424 | Woodhall Plantation | 3.162 |
| 705 | Calverley Woods | 51.160 |
| 1417 | Lodge Wood | 8.268 |

| | | |
|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1416 | Priestthorpe Lane / Road Woodland | 0.646 |
| 836 | Bill Wood/Round Wood - Calverley | 12.383 |
| | Total | 128.751 |

5.34.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $128.751 \div 22.594 = 5.7$ hectares

5.34.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Calverley and Farsley Ward significantly exceeds the recommended standard mainly due to Calverley Woods and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

5.35 Natural Greenspace Bramley and Stanningley Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|
| 684 | Calverley Lane Picnic Area | 2.716 |
| 965 | Coal Hill Lane Recreation Area | 2.611 |
| 1728 | Broadlea Gardens | 0.354 |
| 560 | Farsley Beck (Behind Farsley Celtic) | 0.571 |
| 556 | Rodley Nature Reserve | 26.944 |
| 1200 | Broadlea Mount | 0.339 |
| 1207 | Bramley Fall and Newley Quarry (3 of 3) | 4.207 |
| 555 | Newlay Quarry | 4.903 |
| 1304 | Sandford Woods | 2.931 |
| 1531 | Leeds And Bradford Road | 14.121 |
| 1489 | Bramley Fall and Newlay Quarry | 1.970 |
| 1494 | Newlay Meadows / Picnic Area | 1.016 |
| 763 | Bramley Park (Bottom of) | 1.784 |
| | TOTAL | 64.467 |

5.35.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $64.467 \div 21.334 = 3.02$ hectares

5.35.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Bramley and Stanningley Ward noticeably exceeds the recommended standard mainly due to Rodley Nature Reserve and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

5.36 Natural Greenspace Pudsey Ward

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1289 | Hough End | 8.483 |
| 1582 | Upper Moor Quarries | 10.050 |
| 86 | Post Hill POS | 9.143 |
| 1583 | Sunnyside Road (Rear of) | 2.328 |
| 1668 | The Banks woodland /Dismantled Railway | 13.628 |
| | TOTAL | 43.632 |

5.36.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $43.632 \div 22.408 = 1.95$ hectares

5.36.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Pudsey Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

5.37 *Natural Greenspace Armley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| 505 | Dunkirk Hill | 1.551 |
| 1194 | Aston Grove | 1.315 |
| 478 | Kirkstall Valley Nature Reserve Site 1 | 8.564 |
| 479 | Kirkstall Valley Nature Reserve Site 2 | 3.065 |
| 1585 | Bramley Station (Rear of) | 0.740 |
| | TOTAL | 15.235 |

5.37.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $15.235 \div 25.550 = 0.59$ hectares

5.37.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Armley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

5.38 *Natural Greenspace Farnley and Wortley Ward*

| SITE_ID | SITE_NAME | AREA_HA |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 910 | Chapel Lane Woodland | 1.383 |
| 1740 | New Farnley Reservoir | 1.759 |
| 1291 | Gamble Hill Drive (Rear of) | 0.653 |
| 76 | Nan Whins Wood | 11.845 |
| 641 | Hill Top Cemetery - behind | 5.121 |
| 640 | Gelder Road - Dismantled Railway (1) | 4.644 |
| 642 | Beeston Royds | 5.685 |
| 643 | Cottingley Springs Wood | 3.378 |
| 86 | Post Hill POS | 26.510 |
| 950 | Billey Lane POS | 1.810 |
| 761 | Stonecliffe POS | 1.845 |
| | TOTAL | 64.633 |

5.38.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** $64.633 \div 24.213 = 2.67$ hectares

5.38.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Farnley and Wortley Ward exceeds the recommended standard mainly due to Post Hill POS and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

5.39 **Natural Greenspace – overall conclusions**

5.39.1 If the totals for the 2 wards are added together it creates an overall average provision of **2.786 hectares per 1,000 population**. This exceeds the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average. All wards have an over provision except Armley. This highlights the need to present the analysis by Ward.

6.0 **Overall summary**

6.1 The table below summarises the analysis of quantity of provision by greenspace type and Ward.

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|------------|---------|
| | Parks and Gardens | Outdoor Sports | Amenity | Children & Young | Allotments | Natural |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|------------|---------|

| | | (excluding education) | | People | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Standard | 1ha/1000 people | 1.2ha/1000 people | 0.45ha/1000 people | 2 facilities/ 1000 children | 0.24ha/1000 people | 0.7ha/1000 people |
| Calverley & Farsley | Deficiency (-0.167ha) | Surplus (0.223ha) | Deficiency (-0.176ha) | Deficiency of 0.8 facilities | Deficiency (-0.115ha) | Surplus (5ha) |
| Bramley & Stanningley | Surplus (2.45ha) | Surplus (0.007ha) | Deficiency (-0.21ha) | Deficiency of facility | Deficiency (-0.168ha) | Surplus (2.32ha) |
| Pudsey | Surplus (0.128ha) | Deficiency (-0.226ha) | Deficiency (-0.174ha) | Deficiency of 1.9 facilities | Deficiency (-0.157ha) | Surplus (2.32ha) |
| Armley | Deficiency (-0.145ha) | Deficiency (-0.84ha) | Surplus (0.036ha) | Deficiency of 5.2 facilities | Deficiency (-0.074ha) | Deficiency (-0.11ha) |
| Farnley & Wortley | Surplus (0.487ha) | Deficiency (-0.24ha) | Surplus (0.268) | Deficiency of 4 facilities | Deficiency (-0.08ha) | Surplus (1.97ha) |
| Average | Surplus (0.55ha) | Deficiency (-0.215ha) | Deficiency (-0.051ha) | Deficiency of 2.58 facilities | Deficiency (-0.119ha) | Surplus (2.3ha) |

- 6.2 **Calverley and Farsley:** There is a mixture of surpluses and deficiencies across the various greenspace typologies in the area, the most noticeable is the significant surplus of natural greenspace mainly due to Calverley Woods (51ha). There may be scope for addressing the deficiencies in parks and gardens, outdoor sports, amenity, children and young people's play facilities and allotments by i) laying out some of the surplus areas of outdoor sports or natural greenspace to these uses or ii) laying out new areas which aren't greenspace currently, as and when the opportunity and funding arise. This could be delivered by a developer as a requirement on new residential development or by the Council following the payment of commuted sums. A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.
- 6.3 There is a slight deficiency of children and young peoples play facilities (0.8 facilities), but this overall figure masks the variation in the provision of the different types of play facilities. 7 of the 8 facilities are children's play areas, with only 1 teen shelter and no MUGA's or skate parks. This means there is a shortage of facilities for older children which should be addressed.
- 6.4 **Bramley and Stanningley:** There is slightly better greenspace provision in Bramley and Stanningley than Calverley and Farsley, though it is noticeable that there are still deficiencies in the provision of amenity, children and young people's play facilities and allotments. Conversely, there are noticeable surpluses of parks and gardens and natural greenspace and a minimal surplus of outdoor sports. Some of these may be suitable for laying out as amenity, play facilities or allotments using the potential methods highlighted above. A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.
- 6.5 There is a slight deficiency of children and young peoples play facilities (1 facility), but this overall figure masks the variation in the provision of the different types of play facilities. There are 4 MUGA's and 4 children's play areas but no teen

shelters or skate parks. This means there is a shortage of facilities for older children which should be addressed.

- 6.6 **Pudsey:** There is a mixture of surpluses and deficiencies across the various greenspace typologies in the area, though it is noticeable that there are still deficiencies in the provision of outdoor sport, amenity, children and young people's play facilities and allotments. Conversely, there are surpluses of parks and gardens and natural greenspace. Some of these areas may be suitable for laying out as outdoor sport, amenity, play facilities or allotments using the potential methods highlighted above. A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.
- 6.7 **Armley:** Armley is the ward with the highest level of deficiencies in terms of greenspace quantity across all of the wards in the Outer West HMCA. Armley has a slight surplus of amenity space (0.036ha over the 0.45ha benchmark standard), but records deficiencies across all other typologies. Perhaps the most striking under provision is in terms of children and young people's play facilities, where the ward records only 49% of the required number of facilities. It is worth considering whether new development could be used to deliver on site provision of new greenspace and in particular children and young people's equipped play facilities.
- 6.8 **Farnley & Wortley:** There is a mixture of surpluses and deficiencies across all typologies within the ward, with surpluses being recorded in the quantity of parks and gardens, amenity space and natural greenspace. There is a slight under provision in terms of outdoor sports provision and allotments, but the greatest level of under provision is in terms of children and young people's play provision, with the existing provision representing only 60% of the requirement. The ward has a notable surplus in terms of natural greenspace provision. It is worth considering whether some of this natural greenspace could be laid out as an alternative typology to compensate for the various deficiencies across the ward. . A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.

QUALITY OF GREENSPACE.

7.0 Methodology

- 7.1 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for the quality of greenspace:

| Greenspace type | Quality |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Parks and Gardens | 7 |
| Outdoor sports provision | 7 |
| Amenity greenspace | 7 |
| Children and young people's equipped play facilities | 7 |
| allotments | 7 |
| Natural Greenspace | 7 |

- 7.2 Each type of greenspace should meet a quality score of 7. This score is determined by assessing an area against a number of criteria, such as i) how welcoming; ii) level of health and safety; iii) cleanliness and maintenance; iv) conservation, habitats and heritage.
- 7.3 Plan 2 indicates whether the quality of each area of greenspace in the Outer West HMCA meets the required standard (a score of 7 and above) or not (a score of 6.9 or below).
- 7.4 The table below summarise key information about each typology within the Outer West HMCA.

| | Parks and Gardens | Outdoor Sports | Amenity | Childrens & young People | Allotments | Natural |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| Number of sites | 31 | 45 | 50 | 21 | 18 | 44 |
| Number scoring 7 & above | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Number scoring below 7 | 25 | 34 | 46 | 16 | 15 | 41 |
| Highest score | 7.81 | 7.58 | 7.69 | 7.81 | 7.58 | 9.15 |
| Lowest score | 3.41 | 0 | 0 | 3.14 | 1.77 | 1.3 |
| Average score | 5.59 | 5.34 | 4.41 | 5.49 | 5.33 | 4.76 |

- 7.5 **Conclusions:** Overall, the plan and tables show a predominance of sites (177 out of 209, 84.7%) which fall below the required quality standard of 7, which indicates an issue of substandard greenspace provision across all wards and typologies.

ACCESSIBILITY OF GREENSPACE

- 8.1 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for accessibility of greenspace. Each type of greenspace should be within the distance specified.

| Greenspace type | Accessibility distance |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parks and Gardens | 720m |
| Outdoor sports provision | Tennis courts – 720m Bowling greens and grass playing pitches – 3.2km Athletics tracks and synthetic pitches – 6.4km |
| Amenity greenspace | 480m |
| Children and young people's equipped play facilities | 720m |
| Allotments | 960m |
| Natural Greenspace | 720m and 2 km from sites of 20 hectares or more. |

- 8.2 Plans which show the required buffers as set out above, for each greenspace type are available. Please contact Leeds City Council directly at ldf@leeds.gov.uk. Some conclusions are drawn out below:

8.2.1 Parks and Gardens

The majority of the HMCA lies within the acceptable distance for accessing a Park and Garden (720m or 10 minute walking distance). Only small areas at the very

edges of the HMCA and an area around Gamble Hill lies beyond the 720m distance.

8.2.2 Outdoor Sports Provision

The whole HMCA area is in the required accessibility distance (3.2km) for grass playing pitches and athletics tracks/synthetic pitches (6.4km). The tennis courts tend to be located towards the edges of the HMCA therefore there are large, heavily populated areas which lie beyond 720m (or 10 minute walking distance), such as Bramley, Swinnow, Pudsey, Troydale, Farnley and New Farnley.

8.2.3 Amenity Greenspace

A significant proportion of the HMCA does fall within 480m of an area of amenity greenspace, though some areas of Stanningley, New Pudsey, Pudsey, Rodley and Farsley do lie beyond the acceptable distance.

8.2.4 Children and Young People's Equipped Play Facilities

Large swathes of the HMCA lie within the acceptable distance for accessing equipped play facilities (720m or 10 minute walking distance), though there is a corridor extending from Gotts Park in the east, through Hill Top, Gamble Hill Hough Side to Swinnow which is further than 720m from a tennis court.

8.2.5 Allotments

The majority of the HMCA falls within the acceptable distance of 960m from an allotments site. The exceptions are the outer areas such as New Farnley and a small built up area extending north west from Stanningley.

8.2.6 Natural Greenspace

The majority of the HMCA is within the acceptable distance of 720m from an area of natural greenspace. The main areas which fall beyond this distance are areas of Wortley extending north to Armley and a significant part of central and southern Pudsey. The HMCA has a good level of access to larger areas of natural greenspace (above 20ha). All areas except a part of west Pudsey lies within the acceptable distance of 2km.

8.3 Conclusions:

8.3.1 Overall the majority of the HMCA is within acceptable distances of the various types of greenspace. Some areas do fall beyond these distances for certain typologies. Accessibility to tennis courts is particularly poor.

9.0 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS FROM THE GREENSPACE ANALYSIS IN OUTER WEST:

9.1 Quantity

9.1.1 Overall the Outer West HMCA is well provided for in terms of natural greenspace and parks and gardens, but records a deficiency across all other typologies. Most striking is the deficiency across the whole HMCA in terms of Children and Young People's play facilities, with each ward recording an under provision resulting in an average deficiency of 2.58 facilities across the whole HMCA. Similarly the picture for allotment provision is equally poor, with a total deficiency across the HMCA of 0.119ha against the required standards in policy G3. There may be scope to

change some of the surplus natural greenspace areas to allotment provision and children and young people equipped play facilities.

- 9.1.2 Although both Calverley and Farsley and Armley wards are deficient in terms of Parks and Gardens, healthy surpluses across the other 3 wards in the HMCA mean that there is an overall surplus in provision across the HMCA. Similarly, despite a deficiency of 0.11ha of natural greenspace in Armley against the standard in Core Strategy policy G3, good surpluses across all other wards mean that the average figure for the whole HMCA still records a surplus in provision. Across the whole HMCA there is a deficiency in terms of Outdoor Sports provision despite surpluses in Calversley and Farsley and Bramley and Stanningley. It should be noted that outdoor sport excludes a significant number of sport facilities within education facilities as they have been universally regarded as for the use of the school only and private. In some cases communities will have access to school pitches and facilities therefore although a deficiency has been recorded, the actual position could be a surplus.
- 9.1.3 There is a need to provide more specific types of greenspace across the 5 wards. This could be achieved by laying out some of the surplus areas to alternative greenspace types e.g. lay out some of the surplus parks and gardens or natural greenspace to those which are deficient. Alternatively new areas which aren't greenspace currently could be laid out to improve quantity of provision. This could be delivered by a developer as a requirement on new residential development or by the Council following the payment of commuted sums. If the typology of an area of greenspace is to be changed, it will need to be carefully assessed to ensure it is suitable and appropriate for the new type and not a well used and valued area of the original typology.

9.2 Quality

- 9.2.1 Overall, the plan and tables show a predominance of sites (177 out of 209) which fall below the required quality standard of 7, which indicates an issue of substandard greenspace provision across all wards and typologies.

9.3 Accessibility

- 9.3.1 Most of the built up area is accessible to at least some types of greenspace. Accessibility to amenity space is generally very good against the G3 standard of 480m, as is access to children and young people's equipped play facilities, natural greenspace, outdoor sports pitches and parks and gardens. To this extent the Outer West HMCA has very good accessibility to all typologies.

10.0 QUESTIONS FOR ISSUES AND OPTIONS

QUESTIONS ABOUT GREENSPACE PROVISION IN OUTER WEST

General

- G1. Do you have any comments on the proposed boundary amendments, additions and deletions to the greenspace provision in the area as shown on greenspace plan A?**

- G2. Do you think the Council should consider changing the type of greenspace where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard) to another type of greenspace that falls short of the standards?**
- G3. Do you think the Council should consider allowing development of any of the greenspace sites where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard)? If so, which sites?**
- G4. The quality of many existing greenspace sites in the area falls below the required standard. Do you agree that resources (including commuted sums obtained from planning permissions and legal agreements) should be channelled to improving quality of existing sites?**
- G5. Alternatively, if a site is of poor quality and/or disused, do you think it is better to consider allowing development of that site to generate resources to invest in greenspace elsewhere?**
- G6. Do you agree that, where opportunities arise, new greenspace provision should be provided in areas that fall below accessibility distance standards, to ensure residents have adequate access to different types of greenspace?**
- G7. Have you any other comments/suggestions about greenspace provision in the area?**

Specific to Outer West Area

- G8 Part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at The Manor, Stony Royds, Farsley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 308, see page 10 of Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (protected as playing pitch or another greenspace typology) or released for housing?**
- G9 Part of the existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at Coal Hill Recreation Area, Coal Hill Lane, Rodley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1085, see page 12 of Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G10 Part of the existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at Kilburn Road, Farnley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1342, see page 15 of Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G11 The existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation and wider outdoor sport facilities identified in the Open Space Audit at Wortley High School, Blue Hill Lane, Wortley have been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4007, see page 19 of Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (protected as playing pitch, outdoor sport or another greenspace typology) or released for housing?**
- G12 The existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at Heights Drive, Armley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4038, see page 19 of**

Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?

- G13 Part of the existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at Raynville Road, Bramley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4042, see page 19 of Issues and Options). The site has been identified as amenity greenspace in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G14 Part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Dick Lane, Pudsey has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4044, see page 20 of Issues and Options). The site has been identified in the Open Space Audit but classified as natural greenspace rather than outdoor sport. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (protected as playing pitch or another greenspace typology) or released for housing?**
- G15 The existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at Hill Top, Armley has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4051, see page 20 of Issues and Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**

Appendix 1

UDP designated greenspace sites not identified as greenspace in the Open Space Audit – proposed to be deleted

| Open Space type | Ref number | Address | Reasons for proposed deletion |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| N1 | 5/17 | Fairfield Close, Bramley | Developed for housing. |
| N1 | 24/15 | Carlisle Road, Pudsey | Less than the 0.2ha threshold. |
| N1 | 24/20 | Swinnow Gardens, Pudsey | Less than the 0.2ha threshold. |
| N1 | 33/29 | Greenside Road, Wortley | Partially developed, partially amenity space for neighbouring residents. Remaining area less than 0.2ha. |
| N5 (proposed open space) | 23/19 | Leeds Liverpool Canal, East of Ring Road, Rodley | Rough, wooded ground not in a greenspace use. |
| N6 (playing pitch) | 5/6x | McClaren Field, Bramley | Developed for housing. |
| N6 (playing pitch) | 33/8x | Oldfield Lane (SHLAA site 254 (page 9)) | Identified as suitable for residential development in the West Leeds Gateway SPD |